



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
09/830,694	05/14/2001	Heinz-Peter Rink

Basf Corporation  
26701 Telegraph Road  
Southfield, MI 48034-2442

7590

12/31/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20531  
t.uspto.gov

DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
184	1819

EXAMINER	PAPER NUM
AA, EVA, TATYANA	171

DATE MAILED: 12/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceed



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

7

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

The reply filed on November 21, 2002 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): The species identified for election were as follows:  
Polyols and epoxides, as per claim 3 (in alternative!!!)  
Specific polyols as per claims 4 and 5  
Specific epoxides, as per claim 6

Applicant was required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

The claims were deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Polyols and epoxides, as reactive diluents recited in claim 3  
Specific polyols, listed in claim 4 as (i), (ii), (iii); in claim 5 specific polyols  
Specific epoxides, listed in claim 6 as (iv), (v), (vi), (vii), (viii).

The following claim(s) are generic: 3-6.

Applicant should have elected a specific specie for either one of epoxide or polyol from the Specification. An alternative method of election is to identify an Example which collectively exemplifies the elected species.

See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA  
PATENT EXAMINER

Tatyana Zalukaeva, PhD.  
Primary Examiner